JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE						
Bill Number:	Title: Agency				v:	
6280 ESSB		a the u	se of Facial		dministrativ	e Office
0200 2002					the Courts (AOC)	
Part I: Estimates	Trecognition reclinology					,
☐ No Fiscal Impact						
•						
Estimated Cash Receipts to:		T = 1 = 2				
	FY 2020	FY 2	021 20	19-21	2021-23	2023-25
Total:						
		L				ļ
Estimated Expenditures from	\ -					
STATE		EV 2	004 20	10.01	2024 22	2022.25
FTE – Staff Years	FY 2020	FY 2	021 20	19-21	2021-23	2023-25
Account						
General Fund – State (001-1)			+			
State Subtotal			+			
COUNTY						
County FTE Staff Years						
Account						
Local - Counties Counties Subtotal						
CITY						
City FTE Staff Years						
Account						
Local – Cities Cities Subtotal						
Local Subtotal						
Total Estimated Expenditures:						
Experiantics.			l l			
The revenue and expenditure estimate expenditures may be subject to the pr				ely fiscal impa	act. Respons	ibility for
Check applicable boxes and follow co	rresponding ins	tructions	:			
☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,0 entire fiscal note form parts I-V	000 per fiscal ye	ear in the	current bienn	ium or in sub	sequent bier	nnia, complete
☑ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 page only (Part I).	per fiscal year	in the cu	rrent biennium	or in subsec	quent biennia	a, complete this
☐ Capital budget impact, complete Pa	art IV.					
Legislative Contact:			Phone:		Date:	
A see Discourage On My to a see					1/0000	

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 2/24/2020
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would set forth requirements for governmental agency use of facial recognition technology.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

Section 9(3) – Would provide that in January of each year, any judge who has issued a warrant for ongoing surveillance, or an extension thereof, as described in Section 12(1) of this act, that expired in the preceding year, or who has denied approval of such a warrant during that year shall report to the Administrative Office of the Courts:

- (a) The fact that a warrant or extension was applied for;
- (b) The fact that the warrant or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied:
- (c) The period of ongoing surveillance authorized by the warrant and the number and duration of any extensions of the warrant;
- (d) The identity of the applying investigative or law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application; and
- (e) The nature of the public spaces where the surveillance was conducted.

Section 12(1)(a) – Would provide that state and local government agencies may not use facial recognition services for ongoing surveillance unless it is for law enforcement purposes and there is a search warrant or exigent circumstances relating to a person's physical safety.

II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

None.

II.C – Expenditures

Indeterminate, but expected to be minimal. Courts would be required to provide a report to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) per the requirements of Section 9. It is assumed the AOC would be required to create a report/form and create and maintain a procedure for reporting.